

Acidifiers in Animal Nutrition

**A Guide for Feed Preservation and Acidification to
Promote Animal Performance**

Edited by C Lückstädt

FULL BOOK AVAILABLE FROM
WWW.CONTEXTBOOKSHOP.COM

CONTEXT

Table of contents

	Preface	vii
1	Organic acids and salts promote performance and health in animal husbandry	1
	<i>Mechthild Freitag</i> South Westfalia University of Applied Sciences, Department of Agriculture, Soest, Germany	
2	Possibilities of E. coli control by using acidifier in livestock production	13
	<i>Duong T. Liem</i> HCM University of Agriculture and Forestry, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam	
3	Possibilities of salmonella control with the aid of acidifiers	21
	<i>Ralph Stonerock</i> Poultry Specialist, Nutrition and Technical Services, Marysville, Ohio, USA	
4	The use of different dosages of acidifier based on inorganic acids in post-weaning piglets	31
	<i>Mba D. M. Phuc and Duong T. Liem</i> HCM University of Agriculture and Forestry, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam	
5	Effects of organic acids on growth performance and nutrient digestibilities in pigs	39
	<i>Barbara Metzler and Rainer Mosenthin</i> Institute of Animal Nutrition, University of Hohenheim, Stuttgart, Germany	
6	Improving the performance of weaned pigs with natural products	55
	<i>Jeremy W. Rounsavall¹, Christina A. Newman¹, Fergus Neher² and Jamie C. Laurenz¹</i> ¹ Texas A&M University-Kingsville, Department of Animal and Wildlife Sciences, Kingsville, USA; ² Biomin USA, Inc., San Antonio, USA	
7	Acidifiers in poultry diets and poultry production	63
	<i>Deepashree N. Desai, Devashiri S. Patwardhan and Ajit S. Ranade</i> Department of Poultry Science, Bombay Veterinary College, Parel, Mumbai, India	

iv *Table of contents*

8	Effect of organic acid containing additives in worldwide aquaculture - sustainable production the non-antibiotic way	71
	<i>Christian Lückstädt</i> Biomim GmbH, Herzogenburg, Austria	
9	The use of acids to preserve feedstuffs	79
	<i>Yinjior Acosta Aragón</i> Biomim Deutschland GmbH, Zell u. A., Germany	
10	Index	87

FULL BOOK AVAILABLE FROM
WWW.CONTEXTBOOKSHOP.COM

ORGANIC ACIDS AND SALTS PROMOTE PERFORMANCE AND HEALTH IN ANIMAL HUSBANDRY

MECHTHILD FREITAG

South Westfalia University of Applied Sciences, Department of Agriculture, Soest, Germany

Introduction

Organic acids, such as propionic acid, have been used for more than 30 years to reduce bacterial growth and mould in feedstuffs and thus preserve hygienic quality. In feed legislation they are registered as preservatives, but their positive effects on animal health and performance, if they are added to feed in sufficient amounts, are also well documented. Acids used as feed additives are predominantly compounds that naturally occur in cell metabolism, thus they are natural products with low toxicity (Kirchgessner and Roth, 1988).

Health and performance promoting effects have been demonstrated for a number of organic acids, including formic, fumaric, citric and lactic acid and their salts. Besides improvement in hygiene and a corresponding reduction of pathogen intake, effects on feed digestion and absorption and on stabilisation of gut flora eubiosis have been demonstrated in a number of investigations. In animal husbandry, higher feed conversion rates and improved daily gain, as well as reduced incidence of diarrhoea, enhance economic return by lower feed costs and shorter time to market.

The greatest response to supplementation with acids has been recorded in piglets, especially during the weaning period. In the first three to four weeks of life gastric hydrochloric formation and pancreatic enzyme secretion is poor in the digestive tract. Moreover, piglets can be subject to stressed due to separation from the sow, and feed intake may be low for some days post-weaning. After recovery, high amounts of feed are consumed in compensation, and these volumes cannot always be acidified and digested properly, leading to diarrhoea and oedema. These problems are reduced in older pigs but the growth promoting effects of organic acids can still be achieved, though to a lower extent (Baustadt, 1993; Meyer *et al.*, 2006). However, a literature survey reveals considerable variation in effects between trials (Freitag *et al.*, 1998) that can be caused by differences in feeding, housing or hygienic conditions.

POSSIBILITIES OF *E. COLI* CONTROL BY USING ACIDIFIER IN LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION

DUONG T. LIEM

HCM University of Agriculture and Forestry, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

Escherichia coli is a gram-negative bacillus. Important strains of *E. coli* in animal production are K88, K99, 987P and F41. Infection in neonates is commonly caused by K88 and 987P strains, whereas post weaning colibacillosis is nearly always due to the impact of K88 strains.

E. coli is an important cause of enteric diseases in the piglet, from birth until after weaning. Immunization of sows using commercially available vaccines may effectively control neonatal diarrhoea but not the post weaning diarrhoea or oedema disease. In the past, *E. coli* has been traditionally associated with severe, watery diarrhoea, dehydration, and often death in piglets during the first week of life. These *pathogens* colonize the intestinal epithelium by means of various fimbrial adhesins including F4 (also known as K88), F5, F6, and F41. They produce enterotoxins which induce an influx of water and electrolytes into the intestine, resulting in the characteristic clinical symptoms. Certain combinations of adhesins and enterotoxins (pathotypes) have been associated more frequently with neonatal diarrhoea, and these may vary from one geographical region to another.

Most commercially available vaccines for *E. coli* diarrhoea are directed against the fimbrial adhesins. Immunization of sows near the end of gestation with such vaccines results in the production of specific antibodies which are passed to the piglets via colostrum, and effectively block intestinal colonization by the pathogenic *E. coli*; hence preventing the development of the more severe form of the disease observed in piglets during the first week of life. However, this type of immunization does not usually stimulate a high level of specific lactogenic antibodies which would be present in the sow's milk until weaning. Occasionally, immunization of the sow with a commercial *E. coli* vaccine does not appear to effectively protect piglets against the development of neonatal diarrhoea. It is important to realize that *E. coli* diarrhoea may be clinically indistinguishable from diarrhoea of other causes or may be present as a mixed infection with other organisms. Hence, a thorough and accurate diagnosis should be made following submission of intestinal samples from autopsied piglets or rectal swabs from live piglets. Diagnostic tests based on the detection of fimbrial

THE USE OF DIFFERENT DOSAGES OF ACIDIFIER BASED ON INORGANIC ACIDS IN POST-WEANING PIGLETS

MBA D. M. PHUC AND DUONG T. LIEM

HCM University of Agriculture and Forestry, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

Introduction

It is well known that the swine industry has been interested in reducing piglet weaning age in order to maximize the annual sow productivity, saving costs and improving the economics of pig production on farm. However, weaning at an earlier age exposes the piglet to a wide variety of problems, including nutritional and environmental stresses, which can result in depressed growth, diarrhoea and high mortalities (Ravindran and Kornegay, 1993). During the last few decades, diets for weaning piglets have been supplemented with various antibiotics in prophylactic doses, to prevent gastrointestinal disorders and improve growth rates (4 to 15%) and feed efficiency (2 to 6%; Mroz, 2003), thereby maximising the economics of production. However, in more recent years, public concern has increased regarding the use of antibiotics in animal agriculture and the risk of developing cross-resistance of pathogens to antibiotics used in human therapy, especially in European countries. This has prompted the pig industry to look for alternatives to antibiotic growth promoters, which will maintain pig performance and control gastric disorders.

Acidifiers in animal feed were initially used in piglets to compliment their limited capacity to maintain a low gastric pH, which is linked to problems with digestion (Easter, 1988). Antibiotics inhibit all microbial growth (Cromwell, 1990), whereas acidifiers are more selective in their activity – they can reduce harmful micro organisms and promote beneficial microflora colonisation of the gastrointestinal tract (Mathew *et al.*, 1991). The most widespread benefit from acidification of weaner pig diets has been seen with organic forms of acids (Kim *et al.* 2005). Research to date has been primarily focussed on types and levels of applied organic acids (Cole *et al.*, 1968; Giesting and Easter, 1991; Eckel *et al.*, 1992).

The use of acidifiers containing inorganic acids in-feed has become popular due to their relatively cheaper costs compared to organic forms, and weaner diets including these acids are considered a low cost option. To examine the relative efficacy of inorganic acids, studies were carried out using hydrochloric acid, sulphuric acid and

EFFECTS OF ORGANIC ACIDS ON GROWTH PERFORMANCE AND NUTRIENT DIGESTIBILITIES IN PIGS

BARBARA METZLER AND RAINER MOSENTHIN

Institute of Animal Nutrition, University of Hohenheim, Stuttgart, Germany

Introduction

As in-feed antibiotics have been completely banned in the EU, the beginning of 2006 saw intensified research to find suitable replacements. Organic acids and their salts have received much attention as potential alternatives in order to improve the performance and health of weaning and fattening pigs. It is generally accepted that organic acids and their salts lower gastric pH, resulting in increased activity of proteolysis and consequent improved amino acid and protein digestion. Additionally, organic acids selectively inhibit the growth of potential harmful bacteria, like *Escherichia coli*. However, growth-promoting and microbial effects depend on type and inclusion level of the acid used, the buffering capacity of the diet and age of animals. In general, the response to supplementation with organic acids is more pronounced in the young pig, especially around weaning when the digestive system is still immature.

At weaning, pigs are exposed to physiological and environmental stress, which often results in reduced feed intake and little or no weight gain. In some instances diarrhoea, morbidity and even fatalities may occur. The transition from liquid to solid feed and abrupt changes in feed intake (Aumaître *et al.*, 1995) have been identified as major stressors after weaning. At this time, piglets have a limited digestive and absorptive capacity due to an insufficient secretion of hydrochloric acid (HCl) required maintaining a low pH of approximately 3.5 in the stomach (Cranwell and Titchen, 1974). They also have inadequate secretion of pancreatic and brush border enzymes. It takes 3–4 weeks after weaning before the acid secretion in the stomach of piglets is sufficient to reduce gastric pH to a suitable level. In particular, diets with a high buffering capacity exert a negative effect on pepsin activity in the stomach, which, in turn, may have adverse effects on performance (Eidelsburger *et al.*, 1992a). Additionally, during the fattening period, digestive disorders associated with poor performance may also occur, particularly when pigs from different rearing compartments or farms are transferred to other production units and feeding regimes

IMPROVING THE PERFORMANCE OF WEANED PIGS WITH NATURAL PRODUCTS

JEREMY W. ROUNSAVALL¹, CHRISTINA A. NEWMAN¹, FERGUS NEHER²
AND JAMIE C. LAURENZ¹

¹Texas A&M University-Kingsville, Department of Animal and Wildlife Sciences, Kingsville, USA; ²Biomin USA, Inc., San Antonio, USA

Introduction

Mortality, morbidity and depressed pig performance associated with disease during the early post-weaning period continue to be major problems facing the swine industry (Cutler *et al.*, 1992). Although there are many managerial and environmental factors that can contribute to these losses, increased susceptibility of young pigs to disease is a direct reflection of their relatively poor immunological competence (Kelly *et al.*, 1993). For the newly weaned pig, poor immune status, in combination with other stresses associated with high-intensity pig production systems, and the concomitant suppression of feed intake and immune responsiveness are major contributors to enhanced disease susceptibility (Westley and Kelly, 1984; Hennessy and Jackson, 1987; Brown-Borg *et al.*, 1993). These issues are exacerbated in nurseries, where pigs of multiple origins provide an increased chance of pathogen exposure and consequent disease (Boeckman, 1996).

Currently, the majority of the U.S. swine industry relies on the inclusion of sub-therapeutic concentrations of antibiotics in the diets of young pigs to promote growth and mitigate disease problems. Increasing concerns regarding the development of antibiotic-resistant bacteria, and its potential implications for human health, have created public concern worldwide regarding such management practices (Liem, 2004). The development of alternatives to the use of antibiotics is therefore a priority for the industry.

The research discussed in this chapter was conducted to assess the use of natural products, designed to enhance feed intake and reduce disease susceptibility, versus antibiotics used at sub-therapeutic growth promoting doses (AGP's) on the performance of pigs from weaning to the grower phase. At present, acidifiers consisting of organic and/or inorganic acids are considered a promising option for replacing AGPs in livestock production (Steiner, 2006).

Successful application of organic acids in the diets for pigs requires an understanding of their modes of action. It is generally considered that dietary organic acids or their salts lower gastric pH, which results in increased activity of proteolytic enzymes and gastric retention time, thus improving protein digestion (Partanen and Mroz, 1999).

EFFECT OF ORGANIC ACID CONTAINING ADDITIVES IN WORLDWIDE AQUACULTURE - SUSTAINABLE PRODUCTION THE NON-ANTIBIOTIC WAY

CHRISTIAN LÜCKSTÄDT

Biomin GmbH, Herzogenburg, Austria

Introduction

The current situation in world food supplies calls for supreme efforts to ensure the increasing requirements of the growing world population for staple diets and high-quality food. Additionally, bridging the widening gap between food demand and supply is required, especially in developing areas. Setbacks in any food production sector places greater pressure on other areas for supplying the increasing urban and rural populations, particularly in less developed countries.

Around one billion people are dependent on fish as their main protein source, and this number is likely to increase further (Becker and Focken, 1998), as the world population is increasing at an estimated annual rate of 2%. Aquaculture now provides more than 22% of all consumable aquatic products (Guillaume *et al.*, 2001). Between 1987 and 1996, aquaculture production of food fish increased by 148% (Tomasso and New, 1999). In comparison, livestock meat and fisheries have grown yearly only by 3% and 1.6% respectively. Aquaculture is, at present, the only enlarging sector within the fishing industry and is also reputed to be the fastest growing food production sector in the world.

Since the early 1980s, yearly growth rates of around 10% have been reported for aquaculture business. Because of this situation, global production of farmed fish and shellfish has more than doubled in both volume and value in the past 15 years (Naylor *et al.*, 2000). If products from aquaculture that are not directly used for human consumption are included (e.g. seaweed), then the world's aquaculture production more than tripled by weight and value between 1984 and 1996 (Dagoon, 2000). The contribution of aquaculture to total fish production directly consumed by humans is currently more than 25%.

Aquaculture production differs greatly between countries due to different retail opportunities, climatic zones and local conditions as well as the types of farmed animals, leading to diverse production practices and a variety of impacts on the ecosystem. Williams *et al.* (2000) described certain targets required for the aquaculture industry if

THE USE OF ACIDS TO PRESERVE FEEDSTUFFS

YUNIOR ACOSTA ARAGÓN

Biomim Deutschland GmbH, Zell u. A., Germany

Introduction

To secure health and a good growth performance, animals need a constant supply of high quality nutrients throughout the year. A primary objective in any profitable farming operation should be the use and production of good quality feedstuffs. Preservation of forage feedstuffs is of key importance for maintaining nutritive value and avoiding the losses caused by undesirable microorganisms and the contamination with toxins such as fungal mycotoxins.

According to the presence or absence of oxygen, feedstuffs can be stored under aerobic or anaerobic conditions respectively. Anaerobic procedures (without oxygen) include the age-old practice known as ensiling. The practice of ensiling was originally a management tool to fulfill feed demand for ruminants in seasons where forage was scarcer, by storing and preserving the excess forage resources during periods of overproduction or abundance, e.g. spring grass 'flush'. In more recent times its importance has extended, especially for high input systems utilizing so called "zero-grazing" strategies, with the accompanying benefits derived from increased productivity per animal and per area unit (Ogle, 1990; Muller and Botha, 1997; Klein and Ledgard, 2001). Ensiling is also less dependent on weather and can be used to preserve a great variety of forage crops and regionally available byproducts (Schroeder, 2004).

Over the last few years, silage additives have been utilised more and more by silage producers (Knický, 2005). Their main purpose for inclusion in silage is to increase its nutritional value, improve fermentation (so that storage losses are reduced) and increase aerobic stability of the finished silage after the opening of the silo (Jones *et al.*, 2004). Responses to additives depend not only on what type of forage is used, but also dry matter (DM) content, for example (Burns *et al.*, 2005).

INDEX

A

- AGP *see antibiotic growth promoters*
 Acetic acid 17-18, 25-26, 43
 Acidifiers *see also organic acids and inorganic acids*
 Preservatives 79-83
 Ensiling 79
 Cost 31, 37, 80
 In fish 72-73
 In poultry 64-68
 In weaned pigs 58-62
 Mode of action 17, 40-42
 Synergism 26-27
 Acids
 Solid 2
 Structural proposal 2, 3
 Adhesions 13-14
 Ammonium
 Acetate 18, 25
 Formate 18, 25
 Anion
 Effect on bacterial growth 3, 23, 42
 Effect on total tract digestibility 46
 Antibiotic
 Growth promoters 31, 39, 55-62, 63, 66
 In aquaculture 72, 74
 In feed *see also antibiotic growth promoters* 40, 49, 55
 Resistance
 In laying hens 15-16
 To *E Coli* 14-17
 Antimicrobial activity (of acids) 2-7, 17-18, 25
 In pigs 40-42, 47-49, 56
 Aquaculture 71-74
 Arctic charr
 Use of acidifiers 72
 Use of antibiotics, 72

- Atlantic salmon
 Use of antibiotics, 72
 Use of acidifiers, 73

B

- Bacterial growth
 Effect of organic acids 16, 39
 In silage 80
 pH effects 23
 Benzoic acid 26, 82
Bifidobacterium 18
 Broilers
 Organic acid supplementation 64-68
 Salmonella contamination 25, 64
 Butyric acid 40, 46-48

C

- Calcium
 Formate 18, 25
 Propionate 45
 Catfish 75
 Citric acid 1, 18, 24-25, 40, 42-43
Clostridium perfringens 5, 82
 Colibacillosis 13

D

- Diarrhoea 1, 7, 21
 In neonates 13-14
 In post weaning pigs 31, 35-36, 39
 Digestibility
 Lysine 5
 In growing pigs 5, 46
 In piglets 5
 In weaner pigs 33, 45

E

Electrolyte balance 13, 32
 Ensiling 79-83
 Enterotoxins 13-14
Escherichia coli 3, 5-6, 13-19, 39
 In neonates 13
 In piglets 13-14
 In poultry 64, 67
 Eubiosis 1, 7
 European Broiler Index 65-67

F

Feed hygiene 7
 Fish 71-75
 Warm-water 74-75
 Formic acid 1, 17-18, 24-27, 40, 42-48, 64-66, 80
 Fumaric acid 1, 3, 17-18, 25, 32, 37, 40, 42-48, 64
 Structure 3
 Fungal growth
 Effect of organic acids 16
 Mycotoxins 79, 83

H

HCl *see hydrochloric acid*
 Hydrochloric acid, 31-33, 39, 80

I

IgA 32-33
 Inorganic acidifiers *see also acidifiers* 31-37

L

Lactobacillus 3, 5, 18, 27, 42
 Lactic acid 5, 17-18, 25-26, 40, 43, 72
 Laying
 Chicks, 16
 Hens, antibiotic resistance 15-16

M

Malic acid 40
 Microflora, effect of organic acids 47-48
 Mycotoxins *see also fungal growth* 79, 83

O

Organic acids *see also acidifiers*
 Antimicrobial effects 47-48, 56
 Efficacy in pigs 56-61
 Effect on growth performance in pigs 42-44
 Effect on nutrient digestibility in pigs 44-47, 55
 Feed preservative effects 16, 83-84
 Mechanism for gastrointestinal effects, 41
 Ortho-phosphoric acid 17-18, 25

P

pH 2, 5, 17, 22-23, 25, 31-32, 39, 41, 55-56,
 63, 80, 82
 pK 2, 24, 26-27, 40
 Phosphoric acid 32-36
 Phytobiotics, 56-62
 Phytogetic feed additives 56
 Pigs 1, 7, 31-37, 39-49, 55-62
 Fattening 42, 49
 Growing 46
 Inorganic acid supplementation 32-36
 Organic acid supplementation 40-49
 Piglets 31-37
 E. Coli infection 13-15, 18
 Post weaning 1, 4, 7, 13, 31-37, 39, 45-48, 55-62
 Response to supplementation 1, 41-45, 49
 Salmonella infection 24-27
 Poultry 63-68
 Propionic acid 1, 7, 18, 25-27, 40, 44-46, 64-68,
 72, 80-84

R

Rainbow trout
 Use of acidifiers 73-74
 Use of antibiotics, 72

S

Salmonella, 5, 21-27, 64, 67-68
 choleraesuis, 21
 Control 21
 Description 21
 enteritidis 21, 26-27, 64
 In feed 22, 25
 In pigs 21, 25-26

- In poultry 24-26
- Mode of action 22-23
- pullorum* 21, 26
- transmission 21
- typhimurium*, 21, 24-26
- Septicaemia, 21
- Sequential release medium 26, 64, 66, 68
- Shrimps 75
- Silage
 - Acidifying 80-83
 - Mode of action 81
 - Additives 79
- Sodium
 - Benzoate 84
 - Formate 45
 - Fumerate 45
- Sorbic acid 26, 40, 43
- Sulphuric acid 31, 80
- Swine *see also pig* 31
- Synergism in acids 26-27

T

- Tartaric acid 40, 44
- Toxicity 1

Y

- Yeasts 4, 82

ALL BOOK AVAILABLE FROM
WWW.CONTEXTBOOKSHOP.COM